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Name of Presenter
Are you familiar with the decision-making arrangements of the SC membership between in-person meetings?

- Yes: 23
- No: 13
Do you believe the governance arrangements (Steering Committee Chair/Vice Chair, Secretariat, Sub-groups, Technical Advisory Group) are sufficiently inclusive, reflecting the multi-stakeholder nature of the initiative?

- Yes: 26
- No: 7
Does the structure, size and composition of the Steering Committee allow for efficient decision-making?

- Yes: 22
- No: 9
SC views on governance arrangements (SC, Secretariat, subgroups, TAG etc.)

• Suggested improvements:
  – Include views of partner countries in a better way
  – Bring data producers and users into the platform
  – Make clear if all members have an equal commitment to the organisation
SC views on SC meeting arrangements

• Includes both informal and formal sessions
• Inclusive culture with respect and common goals
• Too big? Virtual / smaller meetings in between? Look at how other initiatives operate?
• Trapped in technical, budget and resource discussions. Should focus on strategic guidance.
SC views on hosting arrangement

Strengths

• Multi-stakeholder
• Financial management
• Technical capacity
• Engaged, experienced and approachable staff

Weaknesses

• Multi-stakeholder
• Political leadership
• Unclear roles
• Communications, PC outreach and awareness
SC views on hosting arrangement (cont.)

Opportunities

• Improvement in process for deciding program of work and budget
• More partner countries
• Desirable to see a formalised relationship with DAC

Threats

• Ensuring ambitions of Secretariat and SC are aligned and realistic
• Potential conflicts of interest
• Ensuring transparent and participatory management