



Survey 2015


Governance structure

2015-06-

IATI Steering Committee, Ottawa


Name of Presenter

Are you familiar with the decision-making arrangements of the SC membership between in-person meetings?




■ Yes ■ No

Do you believe the governance arrangements (Steering Committee Chair/Vice Chair, Secretariat, Sub-groups, Technical Advisory Group) are sufficiently inclusive, reflecting the multi-stakeholder nature of the initiative?



■ Yes ■ No

Does the structure, size and composition of the Steering Committee allow for efficient decision-making?



■ Yes ■ No

SC views on governance arrangements (SC, Secretariat, subgroups, TAG etc.)

- Suggested improvements:
 - Include views of partner countries in a better way
 - Bring data producers and users into the platform
 - Make clear if all members have an equal commitment to the organisation

SC views on SC meeting arrangements

- Includes both informal and formal sessions
- Inclusive culture with respect and common goals
- Too big? Virtual / smaller meetings in between?
Look at how other initiatives operate?
- Trapped in technical, budget and resource discussions. Should focus on strategic guidance.

SC views on hosting arrangement

Strengths

- Multi-stakeholder
- Financial management
- Technical capacity
- Engaged, experienced and approachable staff

Weaknesses

- Multi-stakeholder
- Political leadership
- Unclear roles
- Communications, PC outreach and awareness

SC views on hosting arrangement (cont.)

Opportunities

- Improvement in process for deciding program of work and budget
- More partner countries
- Desirable to see a formalised relationship with DAC

Threats

- Ensuring ambitions of Secretariat and SC are aligned and realistic
- Potential conflicts of interest
- Ensuring transparent and participatory management