**Implementation at country level, Monday 2pm, Room 2**

*Questions in italics*

**Presentation - Bill Anderson/Yvon Mombong (DRC)**

Winning over confidence of Yvon’s staff was critical part of DRC pilot trip. Trialling Development Gateway’s import module – proved fit for purpose, staff in DRC able to pick it up very quickly.

Need for pragmatic decisions in importing data (changes were made at the time).

Stopped working with World Bank data, unit of aid reported to IATI not fit for purpose (needed regional level info) – this was an example where a decision was overturned by data users.

To import – hitting moving target, need to swap out manual process with automatic process. How to swap out PGAI and IATI – will it make sense when swapping it out?

Not live, looked at DFID data and problem with it – now produce quarterly aggregated data for that period. Annual budgets not enough, need quarterly forward-looking data (not as simple as dividing annual budget into four) – need to think how to show this.

Bringing basic data in, being enriched locally.

**Feedback**

Congratulations were given on the DRC pilot works – the main lesson is that this can be done.

World Bank – missing component-level information – project information and activity level.

Lots of stuff in standard not being populated by donors – one big gap is economic classification. Not a question of changing the standard, but populating it.

Forward-looking data – not at HQ, need to go locally (depends on donor)

Raising awareness in countries – thinking about the use of data, would be even more exciting if more people in DRC knew about it. *When we do get a robust full set of data, can we get it used country by country?*

*What was being asked, what were people supposed to be doing in the past?* Lack of detail, different formats – asking now for different information and different formats.

The more sophisticated the budget, the more difficult it is to do this (DRC’s budget is relatively simple).

Opportunity to geo-locate data – lots of IATI feeds but not much geo-location, which brings the data to life – encourage suppliers of data to think it through seriously.

*How transparent is it*? DRC are exploring and publishing, but raw data is not publically available.

**Follow-Up**

Discussion for WB and IATI TAG secretariat.

**Arturo Gomez (Colombia) presentation on Colombian AIMS**

Can show regional departments – can go to municipalities. Information on trads, non-trads...

SSC – don’t have all information both ways. Bilateral, regional strategies, regional dialogue mechanism.

Getting funds to give knowledge to other south organisations. New development vision requires new system.

Challenges – improve coordination between national and sub-national institutions, NGOS and APC Colombia. Improve regional distribution. Strengthen capacities. Strengthen SSC. Produce better information (need information about results – without this they can’t do mutual accountability). M&E based on results. Mutual accountability.

Donors are interested in the information APC present, so they provide data. How to value the knowledge being transferred – not a consultant, but a government person who transfers the knowledge.

Line ministries don’t have a specific budget line, the ministry can open these...

Need to know how system matches information on IATI, without duplication.

Need IATI support, Standard, lessons learnt, good experiences.

Share knowledge about technology built for IATI.

System diagnostic – what the country needs.

New system architecture – software and hardwares

**Stephen Davenport – Development Gateway**

Use IATI data and layer local government data on top, has a convening effect in bringing together government departments.

Census, structure, and aid data together – start to see trends. Rolling this out to all governments in next 1 or 2 years. IATI data needs a bit of help at country level to be relevant.

Examples of possibility – start to package IATI data in dashboards, challenge that IATI is still really historical – forward-looking information has been more elusive, and that is most important for governments, as well as results information.

Can combine aid and budget on maps. How to make it used in decision making?

**David Kocharov - Synergy**

Development Assistance Database. Donor performance assessment framework report (Rwanda) - good tool to get donors attention.

Budget alignment - Chart of accounts.

Can check transfer history – data transfer in technical details.

List of online DADs, open to all including public

Geo-coding queries – is it worth it, could be difficult information to get? Need to add extra column to spreadsheet, or mark specifically on map.

Tech-wise the DAD is IATI compatible. Impact on other DAD countries – pre-packaged, up to government to say that they want to go ahead with the automatic data exchange. Takes a relationship with donors and a process, need to respect the process that already exists – no magic, a lot of work!

Supply chain is critical.